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Don’t worry, it’s not Y2K all over again; this is one transition that doesn’t threaten to cause your computer or your plane to crash. The exciting news is that this issue of Law Library Journal is the first of volume 100, completing a century of publication. To mark the occasion, the Journal will be publishing a series of articles celebrating its history. In this issue you’ll find an article compiled by Frank Houdek on “The Essential Law Library Journal”—in which law librarians write about the LLJ articles that had the biggest influence on them. It’s a perfect subject for Frank, who retired as Editor of LLJ at the end of 2007, and who has done so much to celebrate the history of AALL.

So this issue also marks another transition—the end of Frank’s editorship and the beginning of mine. I certainly could not publish an issue of Law Library Journal without thanking Frank for his great work over the past thirteen years. Even a quick perusal of the volumes he edited will demonstrate to anyone how he encouraged scholarship about our profession and consistently published articles of interest to a wide variety of readers. Frank has been incredibly generous with his time over the past six months as I learned a little about how to do this job. And from talking to several LLJ authors I know, he has been just as generous with his time as an editor in helping writers improve their articles.

Interestingly, after the announcement of my appointment as the new Law Library Journal editor, I received almost as many commiserations as congratulations. In fact, the general attitude seemed to be, “How great that someone (else) is willing to take on all that work!” While understandable, this reaction still surprised me. After all, what could be better than spending your time putting together the “official” publication of your professional association, and encouraging your colleagues to write and publish thoughtful articles? I also go into the editorship
clutching tightly to the belief of several past editors that editing LLJ was the professional highlight of their careers.  

¶4 As this issue is the first I’ve edited, and it also begins the centennial volume of *Law Library Journal*, I thought I’d take a look back to Volume 1, the first issue of which is dated January 1908. At the end of that issue is an Editorial, unsigned, but likely written by the Managing Editor, Frederick W. Schenk. It says in part:

In the journal portion there will appear in each issue at least one original leading article on a subject of especial interest to law librarians, bibliographies of special legal subjects, and a list of new text books, statutes, and digests published during the quarter. . . .

The Index to Legal Periodicals and Law Library Journal has no connection with any existing enterprise. It is the independent publication by the Association, subject to no influence other than an earnest desire to serve its mission faithfully and to advocate, as far as is consistent, a higher standard and usefulness of law libraries.

Inasmuch as this is the official organ of the Association and one in which each of its members is asked to take some part, the editors urgently request that any criticisms or suggestions be submitted to them. Communications and original papers on subjects of interest to librarians and to members of the legal profession are solicited. The editors will endeavor to make the journal worthy of their patronage. . . .

¶5 While issues of the *Journal* are longer these days, its mission has not changed. As the Editor, I can only hope to continue the *Journal* on its path of publishing articles that will promote “a higher standard and usefulness of law libraries.”

---

8. For example, vol. 1, no. 2 was only seven pages long.