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ABSTRACT

In August 2018, New York City passed a law that required short-term rental websites to disclose information about their users who host in the city. Airbnb, the largest short-term rental company, filed suit with hopes of having short-term rentals legalized. The law stems from the city’s efforts to ameliorate its affordable housing crisis. With over 8.5 million residents living in a tight housing market, New York City should not allow home owners or rental tenants to commercialize their property into de facto hotels that will likely provide accommodations to tourists. This Note will examine the recent law’s impact on New York City’s housing market. This Note will also argue that the recommended approach to help deal with New York City’s housing crisis is not to regulate Airbnb but to outright prohibit it.

INTRODUCTION

It is very expensive to live in New York City (“N.Y.C.”). A high cost of living, together with stagnated wages and an increasing population, creates severe trouble for those looking to rent or purchase in the five boroughs. Further, the lack of affordable housing, increased rents, and unscrupulous landlords are only three of the many factors that help keep tenants out of homes. In other words, N.Y.C. is experiencing a housing crisis. As a result, many New Yorkers must spend a disproportionate share of their income to simply put a roof over their heads. Add Airbnb and other platforms that allow users to provide short-term rentals at any price into the mix, and the housing crisis becomes an even bigger problem.

On August 6, 2018, in an effort to help solve its affordable housing crisis, N.Y.C. enacted N.Y.C. Admin. Code § 26-2101-4. This legislation required Airbnb and other short-term rental platforms to disclose information to N.Y.C. pertaining to hosts using their service.

---

2. Id.
collected a fee. In response to the new law, Airbnb filed a lawsuit against N.Y.C. in the Southern District of New York alleging, *inter alia*, that the ordinance violates the state and federal Constitution and the Federal Stored Communications Act. The New York and the U.S. Constitution grant its people the right to be secure in their own persons against unreasonable searches and seizures. Further, the Federal Stored Communications Act prohibits an electronic communication storage provider such as Airbnb from knowingly divulging information pertaining to its subscribers or users to the government.

While the lawsuit has just begun, the battle between Airbnb and N.Y.C. has been ongoing for the past decade. During this time, N.Y.C. has passed several laws that target and limit Airbnb hosts’ ability to provide short-term rentals. In response, Airbnb and its supporters argue that the law in question is simply a ploy to protect the lucrative hotel industry, a competitor in New York City’s tourism market. Airbnb and its supporters, also claim that their services benefit many local New Yorkers by providing a source of additional income. New York City argues that short-term rental platforms such as Airbnb encourage landlords to turn their residential apartment buildings into illegal hotels which would violate safety, building codes, and zoning requirements. Furthermore, N.Y.C. argues that Airbnb has been a...
contributing cause to its affordable housing crisis and increasing rents. According, N.Y.C. Admin. Code § 26-2101-4 was passed to help identify where the illegal hotels are located and who is responsible for operating them.

This Note argues that N.Y.C.’s recent disclosure law is a positive step towards addressing and solving its affordable housing crisis and proposes an alternative solution that will help achieve the city’s goal. By having the location and identity of all short-term hosts, N.Y.C. will be able to easily crack down on illegal hotel operators who are responsible for removing affordable apartments from the long-term housing supply. Part I of this Note details the history and establishment of online short-term rentals and explores the current housing issues surrounding metropolitan cities that have a shortage of affordable housing, specifically N.Y.C. and San Francisco. Part II addresses the current state of Airbnb laws and rules in these cities. Part III discusses the benefits and drawbacks of the new disclosure law, including similarities to San Francisco’s current laws. This Part also analyzes how the new disclosure law would eventually lead to the legalization of short-term rentals. Part IV will discuss another option for dealing with the affordable housing crisis. Specifically, this section outlines the reasons and benefits for why legalizing short-term rentals may help address N.Y.C.’s housing issue. Part V of this Note will address the short-comings of legalizing short-term rentals, the poor state of N.Y.C.’s short-term rental laws and why this morass should morph into an outright ban. Since the disclosure law would inevitably lead to the legalization of short-term rentals, in order to effectively address its housing concern, N.Y.C. should impose an outright prohibition on short-term rentals.

I. BACKGROUND

New York City is the largest city in the United States in terms of population and density. It is also the country’s largest public landlord with 400,000 tenants scattered across 325 developments. With rents increasing almost twice as fast as wages, New York City is also one of the country’s most expensive places to live. Interestingly, however, not all rents increase

at the same pace.\textsuperscript{18} In fact, homes with the lowest rents grew at the fastest pace while higher rents increased at the slowest pace.\textsuperscript{19} This arises, partly, from “the increasing desirability of calling New York home.”\textsuperscript{20}

As N.Y.C. has become a popular home for large corporate headquarters, it has also attracted many tech companies and other startups who are looking to capitalize in a robust, economic environment.\textsuperscript{21} Since the value of land is increasing, landlords are pushing out current tenants in favor of those who can afford increased rents, such as the employees working in the aforementioned industries who wish to live closer to their offices.\textsuperscript{22} These tenants will have little trouble affording the expensive rents due to their sizable salaries.\textsuperscript{23} As a result, this creates a rippling effect on the rental market as people are “priced out” and have no choice but to move to other neighborhoods with lower rents—a pattern that will eventually drive up rent prices there as well.\textsuperscript{24} Rental prices in what were originally industrial-type neighborhoods increased due to the influx of large companies and development.\textsuperscript{25} This prompts current renters living in these developing neighborhoods to use Airbnb to supplement their income and most importantly, stay in their homes.\textsuperscript{26}

New York City’s housing crisis is unprecedented.\textsuperscript{27} The rising population, demand for affordable housing in both the rental and ownership market, increase of homeless shelters, and the recent wave of New Yorkers
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\item \textsuperscript{19} Id. (noting that in terms of price, the bottom fifth of the market “have grown at an annual pace of 4.9 percent since 2010 whereas rents in the top fifth of the market have grown at an annual pace of 3 percent”).
\item \textsuperscript{20} N.Y.C. Housing, \textit{Current Affordable Housing Crisis}, supra note 4.
\item \textsuperscript{22} Kaysen, supra note 16.
\item \textsuperscript{23} See Amy Plitt, \textit{With Amazon HQ2 Deal Dead, What’s Next For Long Island City Real Estate?}, CURBED N.Y. (Feb. 15, 2019), https://ny.curbed.com/2019/2/15/18225366/amazon-hq2-new-york-real-estate-long-island-city (noting that the average salary of an Amazon HQ2 employee moving into New York City would have been $150,000/year).
\item \textsuperscript{24} Ameena Walker, \textit{What Does Amazon’s HQ2 Mean for Long Island City Real Estate?}, CURBED N.Y. (Nov. 14, 2018), https://ny.curbed.com/2018/11/14/18091872/amazon-hq2-long-island-city-home-rent-prices-nyc-predictions. (“There will always be people who are priced out as a result ... they will head to other neighborhoods, which will eventually push prices higher there as well.”).
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\item \textsuperscript{26} See \textit{Shared Opportunities: How Airbnb Benefits Communities}, AIRBNB, https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/public_comments/2015/05/01740-96152.pdf (last visited Nov. 24, 2018) (noting that “52 percent of Airbnb hosts live in low to moderate income households” and “53 percent of hosts report that income earned from hosting has helped them stay in their homes”).
\item \textsuperscript{27} N.Y.C. Housing, \textit{Current Affordable Housing Crisis}, supra note 4 (last visited Nov. 25, 2018) (noting that “New York’s shortage of affordable housing has reached a crisis point”).
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being pushed to live in the outer boroughs are just a few of many issues comprised within this crisis.\footnote{28} Despite N.Y.C.’s commitment to preserve and develop low-cost units, the “city’s housing supply has not been able to keep up with the population growth.”\footnote{29} As a result of the low supply of and high demand for affordable units, housing costs have drastically increased.\footnote{30} Rents are skyrocketing contemporaneously with Airbnb’s increasing number of listings in N.Y.C.\footnote{31} This particularly impacts neighborhoods where most short-term rentals are listed on Airbnb.\footnote{32} In fact, Airbnb listings have attributed to approximately 9.2 percent of the overall increase in rents in New York City.\footnote{33} Airbnb hosts have many financial benefits\footnote{34} that incentivize homeowners or renters to use its home-sharing services rather than offer traditional long-term leases or subleases to New York City residents.\footnote{35} Consequently, units once available for long-term residents become short-term rentals for tourists, the housing supply decreases, and these available units’ rents escalate.\footnote{36}

The notion of home-sharing came up when two roommates, struggling to pay their rent, decided to rent out the unused space in their apartment, and provide their own bed and breakfast experience to outsiders.\footnote{37} They developed this concept by creating their own website to allow others to list their homes for rent by taking photos and including information about the space.\footnote{38} Soon, what started as a simple idea to rent out space for strangers evolved into a billion dollar company.\footnote{39}

The secure and flexible short-term rental model is able to provide hosts with an additional revenue stream while at the same time provide travelers
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with accommodations at a lower price than that of hotels.\textsuperscript{40} Hosts on Airbnb are able to establish their own rates and provide unique experiences because their homes are located in distinct neighborhoods, whereas traditional accommodations are limited.\textsuperscript{41} Rates for short-term rental bookings, much like hotels, often vary depending on the size and location of the space, as well as available dates.\textsuperscript{42}

In addition to providing hosts with an opportunity to meet new people from all over the world, users are able to list their homes on Airbnb for free.\textsuperscript{43} Compared to long-term rentals where landlords and lessors often spend time and money to perform background checks and market their property, short-term rental hosts now have a platform that simplifies all those obstacles under one convenient website.\textsuperscript{44} Moreover, short-term rental platforms act as an intermediary by allowing hosts to collect payment instantly and hassle-free from guests through their website,\textsuperscript{45} whereas traditional landlords often run into trouble collecting rent from their tenants.\textsuperscript{46} An issue that often comes up in N.Y.C. where there is a high demand for permanent residence, is that by having available housing accommodations for transient occupants, units are effectively being taken out of the overall housing supply available for permanent residences.\textsuperscript{47} In the basic economics of supply and demand, when there is a decrease in supply of available housing for New Yorkers looking to rent an apartment and an increase in demand for housing, the price of the available units will increase.\textsuperscript{48}

\section*{II. CURRENT STATE OF AIRBNB LAWS IN NEW YORK CITY AND SAN FRANCISCO}

Airbnb’s success does not come without encountering legal and practical issues across all cities where its services are used.\textsuperscript{49} Generally, there are three options that cities can take when confronted with a breakthrough business: (1) no action, (2) regulation, or (3) prohibit the activity entirely.\textsuperscript{50} The current
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\textsuperscript{43} Users can create an account and listing for free. Abulatif, \textit{supra} note 35. Fees are only applied when a listing is booked. Id.
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\textsuperscript{45} Guests enter their payment information and are charged as soon as their reservations are confirmed. Folger, \textit{supra} note 40.

\textsuperscript{46} See Sarah Hume, \textit{Problems Landlords Have Collecting Rent (And What to Do About It)}, \textit{AVAIL} (Mar. 31, 2017), https://www.avail.co/education/articles/problems-landlords-have-collecting-rent-and-what-to-do-about-it (noting that one of the problems that arise in a landlord-tenant relationship is that the “tenant pays late or doesn’t pay at all”).

\textsuperscript{47} COMPTROLLER REPORT, \textit{supra} note 14, at 1.
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landscape of regulatory schemes for short-term rentals differ, ranging from complete legalization and imposed tax reporting requirements,\(^\text{51}\) to allowing short-term occupancy in specific residential districts,\(^\text{52}\) or establishing limitations and onerous requirements that make short-term rentals impracticable.\(^\text{53}\) San Francisco and N.Y.C. have adopted completely contrary approaches when it comes to short-term rentals.\(^\text{54}\) Despite an affordable housing crisis in both cities, San Francisco allows short-term rentals, whereas N.Y.C. strictly limits short-term rentals that amount to a near outright ban.\(^\text{55}\)

The following sections will discuss, individually, N.Y.C.’s and San Francisco’s approaches: Section A will examine N.Y.C.’s restrictive ordinance along with Airbnb’s relationship with N.Y.C., and Section B will discuss San Francisco’s comprehensive regulation of short-term rentals.

A. NEW YORK

New York City is one of the more restrictive cities when it comes to short-term rentals.\(^\text{56}\) Currently, under New York State’s Multiple Dwelling Law (“M.D.L.”), it is illegal for residents living in buildings with three or more residential units, to rent out any portion of their space for less than thirty consecutive days unless that resident is present.\(^\text{57}\) In other words, a host may be permitted to use Airbnb within their apartment unit in a building containing three or more units provided that the host is also present in the unit with the guests during the rental period.\(^\text{58}\) Although the M.D.L. does not apply to single or two-family homes, it may still be illegal to rent out such properties if the homes are not in compliance with use and zoning restrictions.\(^\text{59}\) It is also illegal to advertise short-term rentals that run against


\(^{52}\) See id. at 6 (noting that Chicago allows short-term rentals but stays where the host is not present is prohibited in certain residential districts).

\(^{53}\) See id. at 9 (describing how the cost and efforts spent to obtain licenses in certain cities “effectively freeze] out large numbers of potential participants in the short-term rental market”).

\(^{54}\) Wilhelm, supra note 49, at 829.

\(^{55}\) Id.

\(^{56}\) See Moylan, supra note 51, at 7 tbl.2.

\(^{57}\) See N.Y. MULTI. DWELL. LAW § 4(8)(a) (2018). The law specifically defines permanent residence purposes as “occupancy of a dwelling unit by the same natural person or family for thirty consecutive days or more” and redefines the definition of a “Class A” multiple dwelling to be occupied for permanent residence purposes. Id. The law also sets forth uses that are inconsistent with permanent residence purposes which include occupancy by people other than “other natural person or family living within the household” (i.e., Airbnb guests). Id.


As expected, Airbnb challenged New York’s regulations targeted at the $30 billion business by filing a lawsuit against New York State in 2016, marking the beginning of a contentious relationship between Airbnb and New York.

The lawsuit alleged that short-term rental statutes were ambiguous because they allow N.Y.C. to impose fines on entities like Airbnb, even though Airbnb does not control its hosts’ advertisements. Therefore, short-term rental sites cannot be liable for their host’s illegal listings. The parties eventually settled the lawsuit whereby N.Y.C. agreed that it would not enforce the law against Airbnb and instead pursue action against individual “bad actors” who are running illegal hotels. In an effort to repair their relationship with N.Y.C. and in hopes of pushing towards the legalization of short-term rentals, Airbnb “voluntarily” implemented a “One Host, One Home” rule to help N.Y.C. crack down on operators of illegal hotels. The rule limits a host to only one entire home listing and while multiple listings are allowed, they must be at the same address. Violators of this “One Host, One Home” rule risk having their multiple listings removed or their account suspended.

B. SAN FRANCISCO

While New York’s regulations lean towards prohibition of short-term rentals, San Francisco takes a friendlier approach. Originally, San Francisco required short-term rental hosts to register with the city, however, it was unsuccessful because only a fraction of the actual number of hosts complied. This led to San Francisco passing a law in 2016 that required Airbnb and other short-term rental platforms to display each of its short-term rental host’s registration information and remove listings by any un-registered hosts. If a host was not properly registered, then the website would be prohibited from accepting any bookings for that host.

---

60. N.Y. MULTI. DWELL. LAW § 121 (2018) (prohibiting advertisements of use of dwellings in buildings with three of more units for purposes other than permanent resident purposes).
62. See id.
63. Id.
65. See Airbnb, New York Traveling Help, supra note 64.
66. Id.
69. Id.
70. Id.
Shortly thereafter, Airbnb filed suit, alleging that the regulation violated free speech and privacy laws. After a year-long battle, the parties ultimately settled. San Francisco then enacted a short-term rental ordinance, which formally legalized short-term rentals and required every host or individual seeking to list their unit on short-term rental websites to become a certified host. Due to San Francisco’s shortage of affordable rental housing and increasing rents, the city created the ordinance to prevent people from obtaining properties for solely providing short-term rentals.

Becoming a certified host is a two-step process. First, hosts must register as a business and obtain a “Business Registration Certificate” by submitting the address and other information about where they plan to host short-term rentals. Once the applicant is officially registered as a business, to host, they then need to apply for a host certificate.

San Francisco created its own administrative office known as the Office of Short-Term Rental (“OSTR”) to manage and process all short-term rental activities. In their host certificate application to the OSTR, hosts are required to: (1) submit their names and addresses; (2) satisfy certain insurance requirements; (3) cure any violations pertaining to the listed property; and (4) pay a registration fee. Along with other reporting, recordkeeping, and license renewal requirements, there is also a permanent resident requirement qualifying only those who have lived at the premises for at least 275 days in a calendar year. Moreover, hosts may not list a residential unit that is subject to the San Francisco’s affordable housing program.

San Francisco uses the term “permanent resident” to describe a short-term rental host. The permanent resident “may be an owner or a lessee,”

---

71. Id.
74. Kerr, supra note 72.
75. Wilhelm, supra note 49, at 829.
76. Become a Certified Host, S.F. OFF. OF SHORT-TERM RENTALS, https://shorttermrentals.sfgov.org/hosting/become-certified (last visited Nov. 24, 2018) [hereinafter Become a Certified Host in S.F.] (explaining the procedure to become a certified host under the subtitle “[a]re you a tenant (renter), condominium owner, or TIC owner?”).
77. Id.
78. Id.
83. Wilhelm, supra note 49, at 830.
meaning that a tenant may list their unit as a short-term rental. However, the OSTR implements several schemes in an effort to protect the property owner’s interest. For example, the OSTR warns applicants that their leases may prohibit short-term renting and recommends that they review such agreements before submitting an application. The OSTR also requires a tenant to submit a copy of their lease or rental agreement when applying to become a host. Furthermore, the OSTR sends a notice of the tenant’s application to the owner of the residential unit or building. The extent of regulations and requirements that San Francisco imposes on hosts seeking to list their units is likely a response to San Francisco’s housing shortage.

Lastly, Airbnb ensures that all of its hosts are following San Francisco’s laws by working collaboratively with the city through the host registration process. Airbnb also facilitates a host’s compliance with tax reporting requirements by collecting the city’s required transient occupancy tax on behalf of the host and remitting it directly to the city. In fact, Airbnb is one of only three short-term rental website companies in San Francisco allowed to collect the tax in this way. Airbnb’s collective efforts to work with both the prospective host and the city assure that individuals are able to share their home while at the same time comply with San Francisco’s laws.

III. DISCLOSURE LAW

A. BENEFITS OF DISCLOSURE LAW

The M.D.L.’s purpose was to eliminate illegal hotels in N.Y.C.’s scarce housing market. Part of the problem is that Airbnb does not have a record of which types of homes are being listed on their site, making it difficult for

84. S.F., CAL. ADMIN. CODE § 41A.4.
86. See Become a Certified Host in S.F., supra note 76.
87. See id.
88. See id.
89. Wilhelm, supra note 49, at 830.
90. San Francisco’s Registration Process: Frequently Asked Questions, AIRBNB, https://www.airbnb.com/help/article/1849/san-francisco-s-registration-process—frequently-asked-questions (last visited Dec. 21, 2018) (explaining that once the OSTR approves or denies a registration, it will directly inform the host and Airbnb of its decision). Upon approval, the OSTR will provide the host and Airbnb with a registration number. Id. If the city denies the application, Airbnb will remove the listing from the website. Id.
92. See id. (noting that Airbnb is one of three San Francisco Qualified Website Companies along with Hostwell and misterB&B).
N.Y.C. to know exactly which listings violate the M.D.L.94 One way to solve this problem would be to require disclosures of the exact address of each listing. If N.Y.C. has a listing’s specific address, it will be able to ascertain the type of building the address is located in and confirm with the landlord of the building or apartment unit to determine if the host is in compliance with the M.D.L.95

In addition to helping N.Y.C. crack down on illegal hotel operators, the disclosure law would also help enforcement officials track down ordinary individuals flouting the law and contributing to Airbnb’s black market.96 This “sharing economy’, which has minimal regulation and accountability but great profitability, becomes a black market when hosts turn into opportunists trying to capitalize on this new business.97 This is prevalent especially in popular, developing neighborhoods which attract a larger portion of Airbnb’s listings.98 Due to Airbnb’s negative image in N.Y.C. and the strict laws surrounding short-term rentals, scofflaws tell their guests not to mention Airbnb to anyone in the building or to lie about their stays to avoid getting in trouble.99 Hosts are also likely to remain anonymous by never meeting their guests in person and simply leaving keys and instructions in conspicuous locations which can be accessed by anyone.100 Ultimately, hosts who insist on remaining anonymous or who tell their guests to lie about their stays are likely tenants or homeowners renting their homes in violation of the M.D.L.101 After all, 87% of entire-home/apartment listings are illegal under New York State Law which means that either hosts are listing multiple homes and, or, are not present with their guests during the rental period.102 In effect, these homes are removed from the supply of available units that might otherwise be rented to New York residents, thereby driving up rent prices.103

---

97. Id.
99. Id.
100. Id.
101. Id.
102. MCGILL UNIV. REPORT, supra note 14.
103. COMPTROLLER REPORT, supra note 14, at 1.
The disclosure law would help pinpoint exactly who these hosts are, where they are advertising their units, and put a stop to their practices.  

**B. SHORTCOMINGS OF DISCLOSURE LAW**

i. **Adverse Effect on Landlords and Tenants**

Although the disclosure law allows N.Y.C. to efficiently crack down on landlords running illegal hotels, it also accelerates the process in which tenants may be evicted for using Airbnb in contravention of the law. The law mandates disclosure of the physical address of short-term rentals along with the host’s personal information. This information would ostensibly help enforcement officers “identify commercial operators with multiple listings who run illegal hotels in residential buildings” who can be either tenants or landlords. In the event a tenant illegally rents their unit, N.Y.C. will notify the building owner by issuing a violation and in turn, the property owner will likely seek to evict the tenant. However, landlords also have to bear the cost as they are typically responsible for the fine even though they are not at fault. Such fines come with a penalty of up to $1,000 for first time offenders, $5,000 for second time offenders, and up to $7,500 for the third and any subsequent violations. Some of these large fines may put individuals at a great risk of eviction, bankruptcy or even foreclosure.

While proponents of this law argue that it is aimed at commercial operators running illegal hotels, the law also targets hosts with different purposes for utilizing short-term rentals. For example, a tenant who travels often and wishes to temporarily rent out their apartment while they are away is adversely affected. The disclosure law, collectively with the current laws affecting short-term tenancies, unfairly groups all short-term rental hosts with different purposes in the “same basket.”

---

106. Ferré-Sadurní, *supra* note 95.
107. See generally Ron Lieber, *A Warning for Hosts of Airbnb Travelers*, N.Y. TIMES (Nov. 30, 2012), https://www.nytimes.com/2012/12/01/your-money/a-warning-for-airbnb-hosts-who-may-be-breaking-the-law.html?_r=0 (reporting an instance where a tenant using Airbnb was evicted from his apartment after the landlord received a violation from the city).
111. Id.
112. Id.
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ii. Short-Term Rental Legalization is Inevitable

Despite N.Y.C.’s attempts to limit short-term rentals and to severely punish and deter illegal hotel operators, the current housing situation mirrors San Francisco’s previous attempts to fight Airbnb prior to its 2016 lawsuit. That fight, however, eventually led to San Francisco’s legalization and regulation of short-term rentals—114—the very same business that N.Y.C. is trying to prevent in order to address its affordable housing crisis.

New York City’s law requiring Airbnb to disclose information about its host and transactions is comparable to the current laws established in San Francisco.115 New York City requires disclosure of the names, addresses, and details about individual transactions such as the amount charged and collected by the host and Airbnb.116 Similarly, San Francisco requires hosts to disclose the very same information to the OSTR during registration with its own administrative agency.117 Also, New York City requires that any short-term rental host in buildings with three or more units be present with its guest at all times during the short-term rental period.118 Therefore, it is illegal for anyone else besides the permanent resident to reside in a unit by him or herself for less than thirty consecutive days.119 On the other hand, San Francisco provides flexible occupancy requirements for the host by requiring them to have lived at the premises for only 275 days out of the whole year in order to allow “unhosted rentals”.120 In other words, an Airbnb host in San Francisco can legally run his own hotel business for ninety days.121

The events leading up to Airbnb’s current suit against N.Y.C. are identical to those leading up to Airbnb’s complaint against San Francisco. First, the crux of the 2016 lawsuit against San Francisco is identical to the pending lawsuit against N.Y.C.122 At the time, San Francisco did not

---

114. Kerr, supra note 72.
115. Compare N.Y.C. ADMIN. CODE § 26-2101-4 (2018) (requiring a monthly report containing information pertaining to each transaction, including the physical address, name of host and amount of fees received), with S.F., CAL. ADMIN. CODE § 41A.5(g)(4)(E) (2018) (requiring hosting platforms to maintain, and be able, upon a lawful request, to provide the name of the owner, address of the unit, and rental period for each short-term rental transaction).
117. About S.F. Short-Term Rentals, supra note 81.
118. See generally N.Y. MULTI. DWELL. LAW § 4(8)(a) (2018) (stating that Class A Multiple Dwelling Units shall only be used for permanent residence purposes which consist of the permanent occupant occupying the unit for thirty consecutive days).
119. This applies to occupants other than the permanent resident’s family and where there is monetary compensation paid to the permanent resident for such occupancy. See id. § 4(8)(a).
120. Unhosted rentals occur when the host is not present with the guest. About S.F. Short-Term Rentals, supra note 81.
121. Id. (noting that a host does not have to be present with the guest during the relevant time period, since “[r]egistered hosts may [] conduct unhosted short-term rentals for up to 90 nights per calendar year”).
122. See also Fleishman, supra note 11 (stating that the New York lawsuit alleges privacy and constitutional violations of the laws); Truong, supra note 68 (noting that the San Francisco lawsuit alleged the rules “violate free speech and privacy laws”).
explicitly prohibit the use of short-term rentals and instead, required Airbnb to only permit listings by registered hosts.\textsuperscript{123} Likewise, N.Y.C. does not expressly forbid all short-term rentals, and its ordinance requires Airbnb to submit pertinent information about each host ostensibly to filter out hosts who are not occupying their units in accordance with the M.D.L.\textsuperscript{124} By having a list of hosts who are illegally renting their units, N.Y.C. will be able to easily and effectively prosecute against bad actors.\textsuperscript{125} Both cities’ laws were implemented mitigate its own housing crisis by cracking down on individuals utilizing their own units or buildings as illegal hotels.\textsuperscript{126}

Moreover, both San Francisco and N.Y.C. created its own city agency primarily to investigate and enforce its rules regarding short-term rentals.\textsuperscript{127} Due to San Francisco and N.Y.C.’s dense populations and Airbnb’s privacy protection of its users, both cities have been struggling to enforce its regulation over short-term rentals.\textsuperscript{128}

Second, shortly after both ordinances were enacted, Airbnb sued each respective city with both lawsuits citing certain constitutional and privacy right violations.\textsuperscript{129} In the case of San Francisco, Airbnb was able to settle and reached amicable terms in which San Francisco would legally recognize short-term rentals.\textsuperscript{130} Therefore, it is unsurprising that Airbnb filed the pending lawsuit against N.Y.C. with hopes of achieving the same result as it did in San Francisco.

The company has already spent millions of dollars in advertising and campaigning for legalization of short-term rentals.\textsuperscript{131} There is currently a bill sitting in the New York State legislature that, if passed, would legalize short-term rentals resulting in a similar regulatory scheme as that of San

\textsuperscript{123} Truong, supra note 68 (noting that the law forced Airbnb to display on its website only listings by hosts who are registered with the city).
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\textsuperscript{128} Zaleski & De Vynck, supra note 10 (“New York, which faces an affordable housing shortage, has struggled with how to enforce regulation to control Airbnb and other home-rental services.”); Said, supra note 127 (noting that San Francisco administrators “acknowledged that enforcement is difficult” because it requires “data on the number of nights a place is rented and the ability to go after hosting platforms that list illegal units”).

\textsuperscript{129} See Truong, supra note 68 (stating that the rule passed by San Francisco violates “free speech and privacy laws”); Zaleski and De Vynck, supra note 10.
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Francisco. The bill ("A-7520") proposes an amendment to the M.D.L. to recognize short-term rentals, and to permit such short-term rentals with certain restrictions. Along with imposing an occupancy tax similar to those imposed by hotels, the bill proposes that short-term rental hosts be required to register their short-term rental unit with the city agency and to maintain certain safety and insurance requirements. In an effort to show its support for A-7520 and get the bill out of the housing committee, Airbnb pledged to donate $10 million to New York non-profit organizations. The company indicated that the large sum is merely a fraction of the potential tax revenue that the state could receive if lawmakers approved the bill. Ultimately, if A-7520 is approved and passed, New York will have nearly identical rules and regulations as San Francisco pertaining to short-term rentals. Airbnb even concedes that regulating short-term rentals is essentially recognizing short-term rentals. While this disclosure law may seem like N.Y.C. is pressuring Airbnb to discontinue its operations in the city, it will inevitably provide Airbnb an opportunity to discuss and negotiate with N.Y.C. the legalization of short-term rentals.

IV. WHY CITY-WIDE LEGALIZATION OF SHORT-TERM RENTALS IS NECESSARY

Affordable housing is achieved in two ways: preservation and development. New York City Mayor, Bill De Blasio acknowledged that there is a housing crisis and promised to build or preserve 300,000 affordable housing units by 2026. His goal is to “help both tenants and small landlords preserve the quality and affordability of their homes.” Due to the disparity between the demand and supply of housing, however, in order to make this
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133. See id.
134. See id. (specifying that hosts are required to have liability insurance on their property of at least $250,000, maintain transaction records with guests, and to register with the city).
136. Id.
137. Compare N.Y. Assemb. 7520, Legis. Sess. (N.Y. 2018) (defining short-term rental units and implementing a registration process with the Division of Housing and Community Renewal), with S.F., CAL. ADMIN. CODE § 41A (defining “short-term residential rental” and allowing certain residents to register with the San Francisco’s Short-Term Residential Rental Registry).
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happen, N.Y.C. needs a considerable amount of public investment and assistance. To do so, N.Y.C. would need to legalize “unhosted” short-term rentals and provide a tax collection system for this activity.

Airbnb shares the same vision as N.Y.C. when it comes to protecting and promoting affordable housing. The company has already removed listings that violate its “One Host, One Home” rule and has always welcomed working and collaborating with state legislature to fight the housing crisis. Airbnb claims A-7520 will help achieve the humanitarian goals.

In fact, one of Airbnb’s main arguments in persuading N.Y.C. to legalize short-term rentals is the potential tax revenue that the state can collect. While Airbnb directly collects taxes on behalf of the host and remits those taxes to San Francisco, it is not the same in N.Y.C. Currently, Airbnb does not have a mechanism to ensure that the appropriate taxes are being remitted to N.Y.C. from short-term rental hosts in any of its five counties. Instead, the company broadly advises hosts that multiple taxes and fees may apply to them. One of N.Y.C.’s taxes apply to transient occupation, known as the hotel room occupancy tax.

Many hosts are unfamiliar with occupancy taxes and are unlikely to collect them, even if Airbnb alerts them, because “many hosts do not see themselves as running hotels and thus believe they should not have to pay the tax.” This creates confusion for those hosts who are legally providing short-term rentals and at the same time, provides an opportunity for bad actors to deceive the government from taxes as there is no mechanism in place to ensure Airbnb hosts are collecting, reporting, and remitting
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occupancy taxes. The bill defines: i) what is a short-term rental, ii) who is a short-term rental host, and iii) which transactions are subject to an occupancy tax. The bill would also establish a procedure to ensure collection of any required taxes and “will authorize [Airbnb] to collect and remit occupancy taxes from guests on behalf of hosts.” Additional N.Y.C. tax revenue would allow the city to fund more affordable housing projects. Moreover, the bill would require hosts to carry insurance and establish a rule that would remove “bad actors after 3 rule violations”, thereby alleviating any concerns about safety and landlords creating their own illegal hotels.

In addition to being a strong source of tax revenue for the city, regulating short-term rentals can provide a stable revenue stream for hosts struggling to pay their rent and at the same time, effectively eliminate “bad actors”. With many illegal N.Y.C. listings that are already posted on Airbnb, the number of bookings could be cut in half. By requiring mandatory registration, hosts looking to offer short-term rentals will have to be vetted by the city to ensure that they are in good standing with the law before they are permitted to list their short-term rental unit. As it currently does in San Francisco, ideally, Airbnb would collaborate with N.Y.C. and deactivate listings that do not have the city’s approval. In order to prevent profiteering opportunities by certain tenants, A-7520 puts in place limitations on how much a short-term rental host of rent-stabilized apartments may charge so that the short-term rent generated does not exceed the annual legal rent. Moreover, A-7520 adopts Airbnb’s “One Host, One Home” rule by prohibiting short-term rental hosts from operating more than one home as a short-term rental unit.

The legalization of short-term rentals would also produce competition in the rental market. In an area flushed with luxury rental apartments, the idea of new competition in the market may lower the prices of such apartments or
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other comparable rentals. In fact, although uncommon, hosts can also offer long-term rentals that can last from twenty-eight days and up to six months.

New York City’s current affordable housing strategy is not effective, as middle income families still pay close to or above market rate for “affordable housing”. Despite N.Y.C. creating more affordable housing units, some units can hardly be classified as “affordable” insofar as more subsidized apartments are being set aside for higher income tenants than indigent tenants. Moreover, Airbnb argues that short-term rentals do not have a significant impact on the housing supply, and blames the affordable housing crisis on “outdated zoning laws, longstanding political opposition to new development, and layers of bureaucracy accumulated over years.”

Prohibiting Airbnb would also harm the tourist market because affordable and unique housing accommodations attract tourists that would have otherwise stayed for a smaller period of time due to expensive hotel prices. With short-term rentals, guests are able to stay across different localities which generate business and economic growth for less concentrated neighborhoods. This would benefit the state’s tourism industry and create additional growth for N.Y.C.

By legalizing short-term rentals and receiving an additional source of tax revenue from a popular business activity, N.Y.C. will have significant funding available to create more affordable houses. Ultimately, short-term rentals may provide a win-win situation—tenants have an extra source of income to help pay their rent, and N.Y.C. can use the tax revenue to provide housing for the homeless and lower income residents.
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V. WHY AN OUTRIGHT BAN ON SHORT-TERM RENTALS IS SUGGESTED

Legalizing short-term rentals would further exacerbate N.Y.C.’s affordable housing crisis given its large population and limited housing options. First, there are many potential problems when someone decides to allow transient strangers into their home without being present, such as property damage or illegal activities. While these are some of the inherent risks Airbnb hosts face when renting out their homes, these problems are often passed onto the property’s neighbors and landlord by way of nuisance or receiving city code violations. For neighbors, the constant stream of un-vetted strangers can cause quality-of-life problems and safety concerns. As for landlords, these problems exacerbate when they are unaware that their tenant is providing short-term rentals.

In addition to facing large fines from the city as a result of these violations, landlords have security concerns because guests are not vetted carefully and “liability for the use of short-term rentals usually falls to the owner of the property.” Therefore, short-term rentals unfairly present additional liability risks that the landlord did not foresee when they decided to rent to a particular tenant. As a result, landlords may be more hesitant to rent out units to low or moderate-income individuals who may need to rely on short-term rental services to keep up with the bills.

This becomes a bigger problem when landlords are unaware that their tenant is making a profit that far exceeds their current rent. Many leases already prohibit tenants from using short-term rental services because tenants
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must first obtain consent from their landlord before renting their apartment.\textsuperscript{183} Therefore, when a tenant decides to use Airbnb without the landlord’s consent, knowing that their landlord would likely deny their request anyway, they are putting themselves at risk of eviction.\textsuperscript{184}

When an apartment is rent-stabilized,\textsuperscript{185} profits that are considered “substantial” can lead to a tenant being subject to an eviction without “any right to cure.”\textsuperscript{186} Generally, when a rent-stabilized tenant decides not to renew their lease or is evicted, thereby leaving the apartment vacant for new tenant, a landlord is entitled to a legal rent increase of up to 20% known as a “vacancy increase.”\textsuperscript{187} If the new amount exceeds a certain threshold, the apartment unit will no longer be subject to any rent increase restrictions and a landlord is free to charge at market rate.\textsuperscript{188} This is known as “High-Rent Vacancy Deregulation.”\textsuperscript{189} High-Rent Vacancy Deregulation was found to be the biggest cause of apartment deregulation between 2005 and 2017.\textsuperscript{190} Therefore, Airbnb presents a lucrative but risky opportunity to tenants which often result in a no-win situation: tenants engage in profiteering depriving the landlord of potential rent revenue while giving landlords an opportunity to evict the tenant and potentially remove an apartment from rent regulation.\textsuperscript{191} These eviction proceedings can be costly and can strip property owners of resources and incentives to invest in N.Y.C.’s affordable housing plans.\textsuperscript{192}

Additionally, Airbnb has a limited impact in terms of improving N.Y.C.’s affordable housing market.\textsuperscript{193} While there are likely individuals who use Airbnb to supplement their income to help pay for rent, there are
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also many others who see and use short-term rentals as a cash cow. Sophisticated commercial landlords view Airbnb as a way of obtaining quick, timely, and secured “rental” payments in addition to having liability protection without the hassle of establishing a landlord-tenant relationship with the guest. For this reason, landlords are further incentivized to use short-term rentals because they do not have to worry about going to court to seek rent from or evict a tenant, or recover damages to their property as Airbnb takes care of most of these issues. Some landlords are even turning rent-stabilized apartments within their own building into individual illegal sublets while evading legal rent guidelines. Despite Airbnb’s “One Host, One Home” rule, sophisticated landlords are able to circumvent this limitation by creating multiple accounts or having different affiliates operate listings for buildings that are actually owned by the same person.

Moreover, Airbnb mainly benefits commercial landlords and not local New Yorkers who wish to supplement their income because commercial landlords are able to impose more competitive rates in more desirable neighborhoods. In turn, individuals have no choice but to cut their own rental rates in order to compete for short-term guests. As a result, commercial operators earn more than 28% of Airbnb revenue in N.Y.C. despite comprising only 12% of all hosts. Legalizing short-term rentals would actually benefit those landlords who take advantage of N.Y.C.’s lax approach more so than it would individuals relying on home sharing to pay their bills.
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Furthermore, Airbnb favorites hosts as evidenced by the website charging nominal host service fees compared to fees paid by the guest. 203 Airbnb effectively subsidizes rentals for hosts because the company understands that in order to flourish, there needs to be a constant need for demand, and one method in creating the demand is to have a highly concentrated supply of available rentals where guests can pick and choose. 204 This creates a greater incentive for people to utilize Airbnb and rent their homes despite the many risks associated with short-term rentals. 205 Moreover, there are many nuanced zoning restrictions and safety standards on short-term rentals which are left exclusively for hotels. 206 At the very same time, apartments are being removed from the housing supply as they are being rented to “high-paying tourists instead of full-time residents.” 207

Current New York laws are ineffective and are proving tough to effectively enforce. 208 Specifically, the law deters landlords from reporting tenants who are illegally listing their units on Airbnb because, ultimately, landlords are held “responsible for any illegal usage of their apartments, even when the usage is tenant-made.” 209 Rather than legalizing short-term rentals, N.Y.C. should instead issue an outright ban of all short-term rentals within the five boroughs. As Airbnb’s popularity continue to grow, short-term rental listings are skyrocketing, driving up rental and sale prices of all housing. 210 More housing units are being purchased by overseas investors who see the surge of Airbnb as an economic opportunity. 211 New York City is the densest city in the United States, so it is not surprising that Airbnb hosts view short-term rentals within the city as a lucrative investment. 212 Real estate investors view Airbnb as an excellent revenue source thereby driving up sale prices of homes that they envision will be used for short-term rentals. 213 As a result,
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the constrained supply of available housing for rent drives up prices. The artificial inflation of prices is due to the lucrative opportunities that investors see with Airbnb and the possibility of short-term rentals being legal.

In addition to creating a black market for converting residential buildings into makeshift hotels, short-term rentals threaten public safety and the quality of life in N.Y.C. neighborhoods. For example, noise and safety concerns have a negative impact on neighboring tenants who may not want to deal with these issues on a nightly basis. This could lead to tenants moving out of their current homes and having to find another residence in what is already a tight housing market.

The drawback of an outright prohibition on short-term rentals would be the loss of potential tax revenue that N.Y.C. could otherwise collect and use to address its affordable housing crisis. Furthermore, prohibiting all short-term rentals in N.Y.C. may lead to more tenant evictions due to the lack of supporting income that would have otherwise come from utilizing these services. Although Airbnb argues that legalization of short-term rentals can generate additional tax revenue for the state, N.Y.C.’s vast population and housing crisis raise issues that cannot be solved simply with money.

So far, N.Y.C.’s plan to develop affordable housing has been ineffective. Specifically, some of its development efforts comprise of promoting new construction by giving private developers tax breaks to build a certain number of “affordable” rental units within their buildings. However, what N.Y.C. considers “affordable” is relative. Indeed, more units are constructed for higher-middle income tenants than low and moderate income residents. The problem is, the housing market in N.Y.C. is already glutted with luxury units aimed at higher income individuals. As
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a result, N.Y.C. is offering subsidies that are not being used to efficiently address its housing needs.

Instead, N.Y.C. should focus on preserving low-cost units and protecting its residents from increasing housing costs that will result if long-term residential buildings are converted into short-term use. Indeed, even if legalizing short-term rentals helps crack down on hosts operating multiple buildings and apartments, more issues arise. Juxtaposed with San Francisco’s law, N.Y.C. will likely have difficulty verifying that a host has lived in the home long enough to qualify for short-term renting or which nights a host is residing with his guests to be considered an unhosted rental? Furthermore, short-term rentals will encourage landlords to charge higher rents when they are aware that tenants might host their homes on Airbnb. The greatest concern for N.Y.C. if short-term rentals are legalized, is the commercialization of short-term rentals in a very dense market. Homes and apartments rented on a short-term basis earned more than 50% than ones rented long-term (over thirty days). Short-term rentals are lucrative, prompting individuals to pursue hosting short-term rentals in the long run and making a substantial profit, distinct from individuals intermittently using these services to supplement their income to help pay for rent. More people will seek to live in multi-bedroom apartments to rent out to other short-term guests instead of looking for roommates. Consequently, these homes that would otherwise be available to N.Y.C. residents seeking a permanent home are instead rented to tourists looking for a temporary stay.

**CONCLUSION**

Based on the foregoing reasons, while the new disclosure law is certainly helpful in addressing New York’s affordable housing crisis, the end result will likely be a full legalization of short-term rentals. Despite some upsides of legalization, such benefits are negligible and, overall, detrimental to the affordable housing crisis. A one-size-fits-all solution to the short-term rental issue would be to simply prohibit short-term rentals within all five boroughs. Housing prices are already soaring; putting extra money into N.Y.C.’s budget
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and the pockets of only an insignificant number of residents do not outweigh the adverse impacts of short-term rentals on the housing market. Allowing short-term rentals would only create more problems and mainly benefit consumers who are contributing to the housing crisis, not alleviating it. Therefore, an absolute prohibition of short-term rentals would move New York City closer to solving its limited housing issue.
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